



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.								
09/498,515	02/04/2000	Howard G. Page	1285	8911								
28004 SPRINT 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100	7590	12/11/2008	<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>RETTA, YEHDEGA</div> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>ART UNIT</th><th>PAPER NUMBER</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td colspan="2">3622</td></tr></tbody></table> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>MAIL DATE</th><th>DELIVERY MODE</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>12/11/2008</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></tbody></table>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	3622		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	12/11/2008	PAPER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER											
3622												
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE											
12/11/2008	PAPER											

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/498,515

Applicant(s)

PAGE ET AL.

Examiner

Yehdega Retta

Art Unit

3622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 17, 18, 20 and 21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 17, 18, 20 and 21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/C)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response Request for Continued Examination filed October 14, 2008. Applicant amended claims 1 and 12. Currently claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 17, 18, 20 and 21 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 recites determining an *insertion point* in the selected video content for the selected video advertising, wherein the *insertion point comprises data indicating where in the selected video content the selected video advertising is to be inserted*;

Applicant specification teaches as follows:

The scheduler 212 transfers the selected video content 201 to the television 221 over the link 203. The television 221 displays the selected video content 201 to the target viewer 220. When the scheduler 212 encounters an insertion point for the selected video content 201, the scheduler 212 interrupts the transfer of the selected video content 201 and retrieves the corresponding selected video advertising 213 from the video storage 214 over the link 215. The scheduler then transfers the selected video advertising 213 to the

FIG. 3 is a logical table that illustrates a viewer profile data structure 330 within the processing system 211. The processing system 211 enters the viewer profile data structure 330 with profile information for the target viewer (V1, V2 ... VN) and proceeds through the table using the selected video content (C1, C2 ... CN) to yield the selected video advertising (A1, A2 ... AN) and corresponding insertion points (T1, T2 ... TN).

As shown above the specification teaches an insertion point where the advertisement is to be inserted. In light of applicant's invention the insertion point itself indicated that the advertisement is to be inserted in the insertion point. This is different than the insertion point including data which indicated where the advertising is to be inserted.

Claim 12 is also rejected for the same reason stated above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 17, 18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swix et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,718,551, in view of Zigmond et al. (US 6,698,020) in view of "NDS: NDS' XTV(TM) time shifting technology empowers the viewer and the broadcaster", M2 Presswire, Sep 10, 1999, (hereinafter XTV(TM)) and further in view of Eyer et al. (US 6,588,015).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 12, 17, 18 and 21, Swix teaches selecting video advertising (e.g. pickup trucks) that has a subject matter relation to the selected video content (e.g. western movies) requested by the target viewer (see col. 9 line 33 to col. 10 line 34); transferring the selected video content to the a target viewer device over a first transport system and transferring the selected video advertising to the target viewer device over a second transport system, wherein the first transport system uses greater bandwidth for video transfer than the second transport system (see col. 12 line 21 to col. 13 line 23). Swix teaches delivering bit map or video

advertisements and storing the advertisement in the viewer device and retrieving the advertisement for insertion in an advertisement slots (see col. 11 line 34 to col. 12 line 60). Swix teaches insertion of the advertisements at predetermined slots in a continuous broadcasting program *wherein the insertion points comprising data indicating where in the selected video content the selected video advertising is to be inserted (see fig. 5) (the slots are indication of where the advertisements are to be inserted)* . Swix also teaches the insertion of advertisement before and after the presentation of a video content but failed to explicitly teach interrupting the transferring of the video content in the video stream at the insertion point; retrieving the selected video advertising from the video storage; inserting the selected video advertising into the video stream; resuming the transferring of the selected video content in the video stream at the insertion point, it is taught in Zigmond (see fig. 3-6, col. 6 lines 13-29, col. 7 lines 1-25 and col. 17 lines 10-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to store the advertisement locally and to interrupt the video programming at the appropriate time to play selected advertisement in Swix's media delivery system to increase the display of advertisements as a financial incentive to encourage the service provider to provide the service to the viewers. Swix does not teach disabling fast-forward capability when the selected video advertising is displayed. XTV(TM) teaches a set-top-box which provides advertisers with the ability to totally prevent views from skipping ads. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to disable the ability of fast-forward or skip forward in order to force the subscriber to view the commercials (see page 1). STV(TM) does not explicitly indicate how ads are skipped. Eyer teaches that it is possible to force subscriber to listen to certain commercials by disabling the ability to FAST FORWARD or

SKIP FORWARD (see col. 7 line 50 to col. 8 line 12 and col. 16 lines 37-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to disable the fast-forward or skip forward function of the set-top box of Swix, as taught in Eyer, to provide the advantage of preventing ad skipping function, as taught in STV(TM). Swix teaches the broadcasting server delivers the continuous broadcast program in one channel and delivers other programs and advertisements in other channels (see col. 12 lines 61-66). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit the non-continuous broadcast program such as the insertion point or signal to instruct the head-end or the set-top to automatically switch to the specific program (see col. 13 lines 1-8).

Regarding claim 5, Swix teaches selecting the video ad based on a viewer profile for the target viewer (see col. 7 line 31 to col. 8 line 2, col. 8 line 66 to col. 9 line 44)

Regarding claims 10 and 20, Swix does not explicitly teach re-displaying the selected video advertisements after rewinding the video content. It would have been obvious for Swix to re-display the same advertising since the advertisement selected is cached at the client set-top box and is inserted into the video stream, locally at a client side, and presented to the viewer.

Response to Arguments

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 17, 18, 20 and 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (571) 272-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YR

/Yehdega Retta/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622